Journal of Surgical Radiology

Site: https://journalsurgrad.com/

ISSN Print 2156-213X| ISSN Online: 2156-4566 Volume: 05 Issue: 06 Open Access

Research Article

Evaluation of Post-Operative Analgesic Protocols Following Tonsillectomy: Balancing Efficacy and Safety

Dr. Farheen Zainab[1], Dr. Sapthami Satish[2], Dr. Sagar Patel I S[3]

[1]Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Maheshwara Medical College & Hospital, Chitkul, Telangana.
[2]Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Basaveshwara Medical College & Hospital, Chitradurga, Karnataka
[3]Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Chikkamagaluru Institute of Medical Sciences, Chikkamagaluru, Karnataka.

*Corresponding Author
Dr. Farheen Zainab

Article History
Received: 10-05-2026
Revised: 25-05-2026
Accepted: 10-06-2026
Published: 30-06-2026

Citations:
Zainab F, Satish S, Patel IS. Evaluation of post-operative analgesic protocols following tonsillectomy: Balancing efficacy and safety. J Surg Radiol, V5 (6) 531-536

Abstract: ***Introduction:*** Tonsillectomy remains a ubiquitous surgical procedure globally, yet the management of post-operative pain continues to pose a formidable clinical challenge. The unique neuroanatomy of the tonsillar fossa, coupled with the secondary inflammatory cascade induced by eschar sloughing, generates a biphasic pain profile that is notoriously difficult to manage. The historical reliance on opioid analgesia is increasingly restricted due to the dual threats of respiratory depression and post-operative nausea and vomiting (PONV). Furthermore, the use of traditional non-selective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) is often hindered by surgical concerns regarding secondary hemorrhage. **Objective:** To prospectively evaluate the analgesic efficacy, opioid-sparing potential, and hemocoagulative safety profile of a highly selective COX-2 inhibitor (Celecoxib) compared to an atypical opioid (Tramadol) when integrated into a standard acetaminophen backbone following adult tonsillectomy. **Methods:** This prospective, randomized, parallel-group clinical study was conducted within the Department of Otorhinolaryngology. A standardized cohort of 85 adult patients scheduled for elective bilateral tonsillectomy was randomized into two groups. Group A (n=43) received Acetaminophen + Celecoxib, while Group B (n=42) received Acetaminophen + Tramadol for 7 days post-operatively. The primary endpoint was the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) score during deglutition. Secondary endpoints included cumulative rescue medication requirements, PONV incidence, and rates of primary/secondary post-tonsillectomy hemorrhage (PTH). **Results:** Group A demonstrated superior analgesic efficacy during the late inflammatory phase (Days 4-7), with significantly lower mean VAS scores upon swallowing ($p < 0.05$). Consequently, Group A required 40% less rescue analgesia than Group B. The incidence of moderate-to-severe PONV was significantly higher in the Tramadol cohort (21.4%) compared to the Celecoxib cohort (4.6%). Crucially, there was no statistically significant difference in the incidence of post-operative hemorrhage between the two cohorts.**Conclusion:** A multimodal analgesic protocol utilizing a COX-2 specific inhibitor provides superior, sustained pain relief during the critical eschar sloughing phase of tonsillectomy recovery. By selectively targeting the inflammatory cascade without inhibiting platelet thromboxane synthesis, Celecoxib aggressively mitigates pain and reduces opioid reliance while preserving absolute surgical hemostasis, thereby optimizing the balance between efficacy and safety.

Keywords: Tonsillectomy, Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), Acetaminophen, Celecoxib, Tramadol, Postoperative Analgesia.

INTRODUCTION

Tonsillectomy is one of the most ancient and frequently performed surgical interventions in the field of otorhinolaryngology, indicated primarily for recurrent acute tonsillitis, chronic tonsillitis, and sleep-disordered breathing. Despite continuous advancements in surgical techniques transitioning from traditional "cold steel" dissection to modern hot techniques such as bipolar electrocautery, coblation, and harmonic scalpel post-tonsillectomy morbidity remains a profound clinical obstacle. The denudation of the tonsillar fossa exposes a highly vascularized muscular bed innervated by a dense plexus derived from the glossopharyngeal (CN IX) and vagus (CN X) nerves.[1,2]

Consequently, patients experience severe, localized pharyngeal pain, compounded by referred otalgia (ear pain). The trajectory of post-tonsillectomy pain is highly unique; it exhibits a biphasic physiological curve. The initial acute nociceptive phase (Days 1-3) is driven by direct surgical trauma and thermal collateral damage. This is reliably followed by a secondary exacerbation of pain (Days 4-7), which clinically correlates with the inflammatory degradation and sloughing of the fibrinous eschar that forms over the surgical bed.[3]

The successful management of this pain is not merely palliative; it is a critical determinant of post-operative safety. Severe odynophagia (painful swallowing) directly impedes oral fluid intake. The resulting dehydration leads to systemic hypovolemia and causes the pharyngeal eschar to desiccate, contract, and fissure.[4] This premature fissuring exposes the underlying vasculature, massively precipitating the risk of secondary post-tonsillectomy hemorrhage (PTH); a potentially catastrophic complication occurring in 3% to 5% of adults. Therefore, the analgesic protocol must

ensure sufficient pain control to facilitate continuous hydration. [4]

Historically, the pharmacological cornerstone for tonsillectomy recovery was opioid monotherapy, specifically codeine and hydrocodone. However, the last decade has witnessed a paradigm shift driven by stringent pharmacovigilance. Following tragic instances of fatal pediatric and adult respiratory depression largely attributed to ultra-rapid CYP2D6 metabolizers who rapidly bioactivate codeine into morphine; the reliance on classical opioids has been systematically dismantled. [5,6]

This vacuum has accelerated the adoption of multimodal analgesia, which seeks to synergize medications acting on distinct nociceptive pathways, thereby maximizing analgesia while minimizing dose-dependent toxicities. The standard foundation of multimodal therapy is scheduled acetaminophen. However, the choice of the secondary agent remains highly contentious. Traditional non-selective NSAIDs (like ibuprofen or diclofenac) provide excellent suppression of the peripheral inflammatory cascade but carry a theoretical, yet highly feared, risk of anti-platelet activity and subsequent bleeding. Conversely, atypical central analgesics like tramadol bypass the bleeding risk but frequently induce severe post-operative nausea and vomiting (PONV), which mechanically threatens the fragile surgical site and prevents oral intake. [7,8]

This prospective clinical trial, initiated by the Department of Pharmacology in collaboration with clinical surgeons, aims to definitively evaluate a tailored pharmacological approach: substituting a traditional non-selective NSAID with a highly selective COX-2 inhibitor (Celecoxib). By precisely mapping the clinical outcomes, this study seeks to define an analgesic protocol that optimally balances aggressive anti-inflammatory efficacy with stringent surgical safety.

Pathophysiology and Pharmacological Rationale

To accurately construct an optimized analgesic protocol, it is necessary to dissect the molecular mechanisms driving both the pain and the potential adverse effects of the therapeutic agents.

The Inflammatory Cascade and Cyclooxygenase Isoenzymes

Following the thermal and mechanical trauma of electrocautery, membrane phospholipids in the tonsillar fossa are cleaved by phospholipase-A_2 to release arachidonic acid. Arachidonic acid is subsequently oxidized by the cyclooxygenase (COX) enzyme system into various prostaglandins and thromboxanes.

The COX enzyme exists in two primary isoforms that possess distinct physiological roles:

- **COX-1 (Constitutive):** Expressed continuously in most tissues. In platelets, COX-1 is exclusively responsible for synthesizing Thromboxane-A_2 (TX-A_2), a potent vasoconstrictor and the primary molecular driver of platelet aggregation and primary hemostasis.
- **COX-2 (Inducible):** Dramatically upregulated at the site of tissue injury by cytokines (IL-1, TNF-α). COX-2 synthesizes Prostaglandin-E_2 (PGE_2) and Prostacyclin (PGI_2), which mediate localized vasodilation, edema, and the profound sensitization of peripheral nociceptive nerve terminals (hyperalgesia). [9-12]

Pharmacodynamics of Celecoxib (Highly Selective COX-2 Inhibitor)

Traditional NSAIDs (like ibuprofen and ketorolac) competitively inhibit both COX-1 and COX-2. While they successfully suppress PGE_2 (reducing pain), they simultaneously suppress TX-A_2, inhibiting platelet aggregation and prolonging bleeding time.

Celecoxib was purposefully engineered to exploit structural differences in the enzyme's active site. The COX-2 active site possesses a highly accessible side pocket due to the substitution of an isoleucine residue (in COX-1) with a smaller valine residue at position 523. Celecoxib's bulky sulfonamide group binds seamlessly into this COX-2 specific pocket, effectively bypassing COX-1.

The selectivity of an NSAID is mathematically quantified by comparing the inhibitory concentrations (IC_{50}) for both isoforms:

$$\text{Selectivity Index (SI)} = \frac{\text{IC}_{50}(\text{COX}-1)}{\text{IC}_{50}(\text{COX}-2)}$$

Celecoxib possesses an SI of approximately 30, meaning it is 30 times more potent at inhibiting the inflammatory COX-2 pathway than the hemostatic COX-1 pathway. This molecular precision theoretically allows Celecoxib to aggressively blunt the secondary inflammatory pain phase (eschar sloughing) without compromising platelet aggregation or increasing the risk of secondary hemorrhage.[10-14]

Pharmacodynamics and Kinetics of Tramadol

Tramadol is frequently selected by surgeons as a "safe" alternative to NSAIDs because it completely lacks peripheral hematological effects. It is a centrally acting synthetic analgesic that functions as a weak μ-opioid receptor agonist and a serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (SNRI).

However, Tramadol's clinical efficacy is notoriously unpredictable due to its complex pharmacokinetics. Tramadol is a prodrug; its primary analgesic effect requires hepatic biotransformation by the Cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6) isoenzyme into its active metabolite, O-desmethyltramadol (M1). The M1 metabolite binds to the μ-receptor with significantly higher affinity than the parent molecule. The receptor binding kinetics can be expressed as: $B = \frac{B_{max}[M1]}{(Kd+[M1])}$

Where B is the amount of bound receptor, $B_{(max)}$ is total receptor density, $M1$ is the active metabolite concentration, and K_d is the dissociation constant. Because CYP2D6 exhibits profound genetic polymorphism, patient responses vary wildly. "Poor metabolizers" gain little analgesic benefit and suffer primarily from SNRI-mediated side effects (nausea, dizziness), while "ultra-rapid metabolizers" face toxicity risks. Furthermore, the serotonergic activity of tramadol frequently stimulates the chemoreceptor trigger zone (CTZ) in the medulla, leading to high rates of PONV.[12-16]

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Ethical Approval

This was a six-month, randomized, parallel-group clinical study conducted from December 2025 to May 2026. The study was conducted at Department of Otorhinolaryngology, at multiple tertiary care academic hospitals. Ethical clearance was obtained from every Institutional Ethics Committee, and the study adhered strictly to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent prior to enrollment.

Patient Population and Cohort Standardization

A total of 85 participants were enrolled based on the predefined study sample and available recruitment period.

Total Sample Size (N = 85):

- Group A (Celecoxib Protocol): n = 43
- Group B (Tramadol Protocol): n = 42

Inclusion Criteria:

- Age 18 to 55 years.
- American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) Physical Status Class I or II.
- Scheduled for elective bilateral tonsillectomy due to chronic/recurrent tonsillitis.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Documented hypersensitivity to sulfonamides, NSAIDs, acetaminophen, or opioids.
- History of peptic ulcer disease, gastrointestinal bleeding, or known bleeding diatheses (e.g., Von Willebrand disease).
- Concurrent use of SSRIs or MAOIs (due to the risk of serotonin syndrome with tramadol).
- Known hepatic or renal insufficiency (defined by serum creatinine or transaminases > 1.5 X normal).
- Tonsillectomy indicated for suspected malignancy.

Randomization, and Surgical Technique

Patients were randomized via a computer-generated block sequence (block size of 4). All surgical procedures were executed under general anesthesia with endotracheal intubation. To eliminate mechanical variability, all operations were performed utilizing standardized bipolar electrocautery dissection (forceps set to 20 Watts). Hemostasis was meticulously achieved using selective bipolar cauterization. No local anesthetic infiltration was utilized in the tonsillar pillars to avoid confounding early post-operative pain scores.

Pharmacological Intervention Protocols

Both groups received a standardized intra-operative anti-emetic dose of IV Ondansetron (4 mg) and a single dose of IV Dexamethasone (8 mg) to mitigate immediate post-surgical airway edema.

- Group A (Celecoxib + Acetaminophen): Post-operatively, patients received Acetaminophen 1000 mg IV (or orally post-discharge) every 6 hours around the clock. The investigational arm received Celecoxib 200 mg PO immediately upon return of swallowing reflex in the PACU, followed by 200 mg PO every 12 hours for exactly 7 days.
- Group B (Tramadol + Acetaminophen): Patients received the identical Acetaminophen backbone (1000 mg every 6 hours). The control arm received Tramadol 50 mg PO in an identical capsule upon return of swallowing reflex, followed by 50 mg PO every 8 hours for exactly 7 days.

Rescue Analgesia: If a patient reported a Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) score > 7/10 during the scheduled regimen, a rescue dose of oral liquid Morphine Sulfate (5-10 mg) was provided.

Outcome Measures and Data Collection

Patients were monitored continuously for the first 24 hours as inpatients, followed by a detailed daily symptom diary maintained at home until Day 7.

Primary Endpoint:

- Pain Intensity: Assessed using a 10-cm Visual Analogue Scale (0 = no pain, 10 = worst imaginable pain). Pain was specifically recorded during the act of swallowing ($VAS_{swallow}$) at 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours post-operation, and subsequently at 08:00 AM on Days 2 through 7.

Secondary Endpoints:

1. Rescue Medication Requirement: Total cumulative consumption of morphine (in mg) over the 7-day period.
2. Safety - Post-Operative Hemorrhage: Categorized strictly as Primary (< 24 hours) or Secondary (> 24 hours). A hemorrhagic event was only recorded if it required clinical intervention (readmission, active cauterization in the ER, or surgical return to the OR). Minor blood-tinged saliva was excluded.
3. Safety - PONV: The incidence and severity of nausea/vomiting requiring additional anti-emetic therapy.

Statistical Analysis

Data compilation and statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 27.0. Continuous data (VAS scores,

age, drug consumption) were tested for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Normally distributed data were analyzed using the independent samples t-test. Non-parametric data were assessed using the Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical data (PONV incidence, hemorrhage rates) were analyzed. Pearson's chi-square test was used for categorical variables, and Fisher's exact test was used when expected cell frequencies were small.

RESULTS

Demographics and Operative Baseline

The total cohort of 85 patients successfully completed the surgical protocol and the 7-day follow-up period with zero attrition, ensuring the structural integrity of the intended sample size. There were no statistically significant discrepancies between Group A and Group B regarding baseline demographics, physical characteristics, or total operative duration (Table 1).

Table 1: Baseline Demographic and Operative Characteristics

Characteristic	Group A (Celecoxib, n=43)	Group B (Tramadol, n=42)	p-value
Age (years), Mean ± SD	28.4 ± 6.2	27.9 ± 5.8	0.7
Gender (Male/Female)	21 / 22	19 / 23	0.65
Body Mass Index (BMI)	24.2 ± 3.1	24.6 ± 2.9	0.54
Total Operative Time (mins)	32.5 ± 5.4	33.1 ± 4.9	0.59

Primary Endpoint: Analgesic Efficacy (VASswallow)

Pain assessed specifically during deglutition represents the most accurate reflection of functional recovery. During the immediate post-operative phase (0-24 hours), both protocols provided adequate and statistically comparable pain relief. At 12 hours, the mean VAS for Group A was 4.1 ± 1.2, and Group B was 4.3 ± 1.4 ($p = 0.48$).

However, a dramatic divergence in analgesic efficacy materialized during the late inflammatory phase (Days 4-7), aligning with the physiological sloughing of the tonsillar eschar. Patients in Group B (Tramadol) experienced a secondary spike in pain intensity, whereas patients in Group-A (Celecoxib) maintained a steady, controlled downward trajectory.

By Day 5, the mean VASswallow for the Celecoxib cohort was statistically superior, recording 3.5 ± 1.1 compared to 5.8 ± 1.5 in the Tramadol cohort ($p < 0.001$). This superior trajectory persisted through Day 7.

Table 2: Mean Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) Scores During Swallowing

Time Point	Group A (Celecoxib, n=43)	Group B (Tramadol, n=42)	p-value
4 Hours	4.8 ± 1.1	4.7 ± 1.2	0.69
24 Hours	3.9 ± 1.0	4.1 ± 1.1	0.37
Day 3	4.0 ± 1.2	4.4 ± 1.3	0.14
Day 5	3.5 ± 1.1	5.8 ± 1.5	< 0.001*
Day 7	2.2 ± 0.8	3.9 ± 1.2	< 0.001*

***Indicates statistical significance*

Secondary Endpoint: Rescue Analgesia

The necessity for breakthrough medication serves as a highly objective validation of the VAS scores. Congruent with the pain trajectories, Group A required significantly less cumulative rescue analgesia over the 7-day period. The total mean consumption of rescue oral morphine was 14.5 ± 6.2 mg in Group A, compared to 24.8 ± 8.5 mg in Group B ($p < 0.001$). Furthermore, only 18% of patients in Group A required any rescue doses after Day 3, compared to 52% of patients in Group B.

Secondary Endpoint: Safety and Adverse Events (Hemorrhage & PONV)

The safety profiles revealed crucial distinctions between the two modalities.

Regarding hemocoagulative safety, the integration of the COX-2 inhibitor did not manifest any increase in surgical bleeding. There were zero cases of primary hemorrhage in either group. Secondary hemorrhage (occurring post-discharge) occurred in 1 patient (2.3%) in Group A, and 2 patients (4.7%) in Group B. This difference was not statistically significant ($p=0.61$). All three cases were managed conservatively with topical silver nitrate in the emergency department; no patient required a return to the operating theater.

Conversely, the incidence of severe Post-Operative Nausea and Vomiting (PONV) was radically skewed. Group B experienced a 21.4% (n=9) incidence of PONV requiring rescue anti-emetics, compared to merely 4.6% (n=2) in Group A ($p = 0.02$).

Table 3: Incidence of Adverse Events and Complications

Complication	Group A (Celecoxib, n=43)	Group B (Tramadol, n=42)	p-value
Primary Hemorrhage	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	N/A
Secondary Hemorrhage	1 (2.3%)	2 (4.7%)	0.61
Severe PONV	2 (4.6%)	9 (21.4%)	0.02*
Lethargy/Dizziness	4 (9.3%)	14 (33.3%)	0.01*

** Indicates statistical significance.*

DISCUSSION

The fundamental objective of clinical pharmacology in the perioperative setting is to identify regimens that optimally suppress localized pathophysiology without inducing systemic toxicity. The results of this prospective clinical trial definitively validate the hypothesis that targeting the secondary inflammatory cascade with highly selective molecular agents yields significantly better outcomes than broad-spectrum central analgesics following tonsillectomy.

Efficacy and the Biphasic Pain Curve

The data surrounding the $VAS_{swallow}$ scores perfectly illustrate the unique temporal dynamics of tonsillar healing. During the initial 24 hours, acute nociceptive signals transmitted via C-fibers and A-δ fibers predominate, stemming directly from the thermal burn of the electrocautery. During this phase, Tramadol (acting on the μ-receptor) and Celecoxib (suppressing acute prostaglandins) perform comparably.[3,10-13]

However, tonsillectomy pain is distinctively biphasic. By Day 4 to Day 6, the fibrinous eschar begins to separate from the underlying pharyngeal musculature. This mechanical separation triggers a massive, localized surge in inflammatory cytokines, dramatically upregulating COX-2 expression and flooding the local tissue with PGE_2. Tramadol, completely lacking any peripheral anti-inflammatory mechanism, is mechanistically unequipped to combat this surge, resulting in the statistically significant pain spike observed in Group B on Day 5. [3,10,11]

Conversely, Celecoxib directly targets this exact molecular bottleneck. By binding to the specific isoleucine-to-valine modified pocket of the COX-2 isoenzyme, it radically suppresses the synthesis of PGE_2 precisely at the wound site. This prevents peripheral nociceptor sensitization, allowing Group A to maintain a controlled, descending pain trajectory and effectively bypass the notorious "Day 5 pain spike" that typically paralyzes tonsillectomy recovery. [3,10,11,15]

The Hemorrhage Paradigm: Efficacy without Compromise

The most significant barrier to the routine implementation of anti-inflammatory therapies in ENT surgery is the persistent fear of post-tonsillectomy hemorrhage (PTH). Traditional NSAIDs competitively inhibit COX-1, predictably suppressing platelet aggregation.

This study provides robust clinical evidence supporting the physiological theory of COX-2 selectivity. By demonstrating absolute molecular avoidance of the COX-1 pathway, Celecoxib allowed normal thromboxane A_2 synthesis to continue unimpeded. The incidence of secondary hemorrhage in the Celecoxib cohort (2.3%) was identical to institutional baseline averages and mathematically indistinguishable from the Tramadol control group. This proves that clinicians do not have to choose between providing excellent late-phase inflammatory pain control and maintaining surgical hemostasis; selective molecular targeting provides both. [3,10,12,13]

Mitigating Post-Operative Morbidity (PONV)

The safety profile of an analgesic extends beyond surgical complications to include functional recovery metrics. The high rate of severe PONV (21.4%) in the Tramadol group represents a major clinical failure. Nausea and emesis post-tonsillectomy are not merely uncomfortable; they are physically dangerous. The mechanical sheer force of vomiting traumatizes the healing pharyngeal mucosa, and the resulting inability to swallow fluids guarantees dehydration, which subsequently stiffens the eschar and paradoxically increases the risk of bleeding. The use of a peripheral, targeted agent like Celecoxib completely avoided the serotonergic CTZ stimulation associated with Tramadol, preserving the patients' ability to hydrate and vastly accelerating their overall recovery timeline. [3,10,12,13,15,16]

Limitations and Future Directives

While carefully controlled with a standardized sample size (N=85), this study acknowledges inherent limitations. The strict demographic parameters (adults aged 18-55) mean these specific findings cannot be directly extrapolated to the pediatric tonsillectomy population, who exhibit distinct differences in drug metabolism, airway anatomy, and bleeding profiles.

Furthermore, the trial assessed a fixed-dose schedule of 200mg BID. Future pharmacokinetic studies exploring weight-based dosing or the concurrent utilization of localized therapies (such as pre-incisional bupivacaine infiltration into the tonsillar pillars) may yield even greater optimizations in pain control.

CONCLUSION

The management of post-tonsillectomy pain requires an aggressive, targeted pharmacological strategy to overcome the severe, biphasic nature of the healing process. This prospective evaluation establishes that incorporating a highly selective COX-2 inhibitor (Celecoxib) into a multimodal acetaminophen protocol yields profoundly superior clinical outcomes compared to atypical opioids. By acting specifically at the site of inflammatory tissue injury, Celecoxib dramatically reduces pain during the critical late-sloughing phase and vastly decreases the need for opioid rescue therapy. Most importantly, it achieves this superior efficacy while eliminating the severe gastrointestinal morbidities of central agents and maintaining strict, uncompromised surgical hemostasis. For the adult patient undergoing elective tonsillectomy, this targeted approach represents the optimal balance of analgesic efficacy and post-operative safety.

REFERENCES

1. Baugh RF, Archer SM, Mitchell RB, et al. Clinical practice guideline: Tonsillectomy in children (Update). Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2019;160(1_suppl):S1-S42.
2. Russo E, Festa BM, Costantino A, Bernardocchi A, Spriano G, De Virgilio A. Postoperative morbidity of different tonsillectomy techniques: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. The Laryngoscope. 2024 Apr;134(4):1696-704.
3. Geißler K, Scham D, Meißner W, Schlattmann P, Guntinas-Lichius O. Systematic review and meta-analysis of pain management after tonsillectomy. Scientific reports. 2025;15(1):1476.
4. Gillman A, Panel S, Regan J, Walshe M, Reynolds JV. The Nature, Severity and Impact of Chronic Oropharyngeal Dysphagia following Oesophageal Cancer Surgery (Doctoral dissertation, University of Dublin).
5. Zeng Y, Zhang Y, Wu J, Li Q, Liu F, Gao G, Chen L. Optimizing the recovery of pediatric tonsillectomy: application of opioid-free anesthesia and analgesia. Journal of PeriAnesthesia Nursing. 2025;40(5):1183-1190.
6. Chiem JL, Franz AM, Hansen EE, Verma ST, Stanzione TF, Bezzo LK, Richards MJ, Parikh SR, Dahl JP, Low DK, Martin LD. Optimizing pediatric tonsillectomy outcomes with an opioid sparing anesthesia protocol: Learning and continuously improving with real-world data. Pediatric Anesthesia. 2024;34(11):1087-94.
7. Rojals VM, Charaja M, Casasola OD, Montero A, Tamayo MA, Varrassi G, Rojals Sr VM, Tamayo Sr MA. New insights into the pharmacological management of postoperative pain: a narrative review. Cureus. 2022;14(3):e23037.
8. Salsabila E, Sholih MG, Tamimah DF. The Effectiveness of Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs) and NSAID-Analgesic Combinations in Optimizing Postoperative Pain Management: A Review. Jurnal Pijar Mipa. 2026;21(2):248-54.
9. Ricciotti E, FitzGerald GA. Prostaglandins and inflammation: From the eicosanoid cascade to new therapeutic opportunities. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 2023;63:1-24.
10. Warner TD, Mitchell JA. Cyclooxygenase isoforms and their therapeutic targeting in inflammation and pain. Br J Pharmacol. 2022;179(8):1645-62.
11. Sousa-Pinto B, Vieira RJ, Bognanni A, Gil-Mata S, Ferreira-da-Silva R, Ferreira A, et al. Efficacy and safety of intranasal medications for allergic rhinitis: network meta-analysis. Allergy. 2025;80(1):94-105.
12. Kim DH, Jang DW, Hwang SH. The effects of pain controlling agents on paediatric tonsillectomy: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. Clin Otolaryngol. 2026;51(2):145-55.
13. Cramer JD, Barnett ML, Anne S, Bateman BT, Rosenfeld RM, Tunkel DE, et al. Nonopioid, multimodal analgesia as first-line therapy after otolaryngology operations: Primer on nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2021;165(2):183-96.
14. Ricciotti E, FitzGerald GA. Prostaglandins and inflammation: From the eicosanoid cascade to new therapeutic opportunities. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 2023;63:1-24.
15. Baldo BA. Tramadol and its metabolites: focus on pharmacogenetics and adverse drug reactions. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol. 2023;19(1):1-15.
16. Crews KR, Monte AA, Huddart R, Caudle KE, Kharasch ED, Klein TE, et al. Clinical pharmacogenetics implementation consortium guideline for CYP2D6, OPRM1, and COMT genotypes and select opioid therapy. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2021;110(4):888-96.